# THIS DECISION HAS BEEN APPEALED. THE FOLLOWING IS THE RELATED SOAH DECISION NUMBER:

# **SOAH DOCKET NO. 453-03-1284.M2**

October 31, 2002

<u>Disputed Services</u>: Repeat lumbar MRI.

Re:	MDR #: M2-02-0692-01 IRO Certificate No.: IRO 5055
TWC0 an ind perfor provid	ordance with the requirement for TWCC to randomly assign cases to IROs assigned your case to for an independent review has performed ependent review of the medical records to determine medical necessity. I ming this review, reviewed relevant medical records, any document ed by the parties referenced above, and any documentation and writter ation submitted in support of the dispute.
health	ndependent review was performed by a matched peer with the treating care provider. Your case was reviewed by a physician Board Eligible can Board of Orthopedic Surgery.
physic conflic other provid	he Secretary and General Counsel of and I certify that the reviewing that in this case has certified to our organization that there are no known at soft interest that exist between him and any of the treating physicians of health care providers or any of the physicians or other health care who reviewed this case for determination prior to referral to the endent Review Organization.
Texas	re simultaneously forwarding copies of this report to the payor and the Workers' Compensation Commission. This decision by is deemed to commission decision and order.
	Clinical History: This female claimant was injured on while on her job as a mounted police officer. The MRI performed on 08/08/00 was basically negative for lumber disc pathology, but with subtle findings at the S-3 level which were consistent with a sacral fracture. She has since received significant amounts of conservative treatment and has received a rating for this mild sacral fracture.

## Decision:

The reviewer agrees with the determination of the insurance carrier. The reviewer is of the opinion that the MRI is not medically necessary in this case.

### Rationale for Decision:

At the time this dispute was filed, it did not appear that the patient had experienced any change in her clinical status, such as a new radiculopathy, that would warrant a new MRI. The previously negative MRI for lumbar disc pathology can be used to make any medical decisions regarding her spine. If she does experience changes in clinical symptomatology in her lumbar spine, certainly an MRI would be indicated.

#### YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of this decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within ten (10) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within twenty (20) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This Decision is deemed received by you five (5) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5 (d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 40669
Austin, TX 78704-0012

A copy of this decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute.

I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on October 31, 2002.

Sincerely,